

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.ouplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,639	01/27/2006	Michael R. Bonner	SSY106B	7388
32299 7590 09/14/2011 DENISE M GLASSMEYER			EXAMINER	
YOUNG & BASILE, P.C. 3001 W. BIG BEAVER RD., SUITE 624 TROY, MI 48084-2813			LEO, LEONARD R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11101,111110	0012012		3785	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/14/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary 10/566,639 BONNER, MICHAEL Examiner Art Unit

(3)_____.

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LEONARD R. LEO.

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/566,639	BONNER, MICHAEL R.
Examiner	Art Unit
LEONARD RILEO	2705

(2) <u>DENISE M. GLASSMEYER</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview:					
Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant	applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes if Yes, brief description:	⊠ No.				
Issues Discussed 1101 1112 1102 1103 10th (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and deta					
Claim(s) discussed: 17.18.21 and 22.					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Chen.</u>					
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a profit on factor, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc)					
A proposed Examiner's Amendment was faxed to Ms. Glassmeyer on August 30, 2011 to expedite the allowance of the application. A follow-up voicemail was made in the week of September 6, 2011. No response has been received					
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.					
Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other perfinent matters discussed regarding patentiability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.					
☐ Attachment					
US Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (Rev. 8/11/2010) Interview	v Summary Paper No. 20110912				